CITY OF IQALUIT CITY COUNCIL MEETING #03 FEBRUARY 12, 2019 at 6:12 p.m. CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

PRESENT FROM COUNCIL

Mayor Madeleine Redfern
Deputy Mayor Romeyn Stevenson
Councillor Kuthula Matshazi, via teleconference
Councillor Jason Rochon
Councillor Joanasie Akumalik
Councillor Kyle Sheppard

ABSENT:

Councillor Simon Nattaq Councillor Noah Papatsie

PRESENT FROM ADMINISTRATION

Amy Elgersma, Acting Chief Administrative Officer Sherri Rowe, Financial Consultant Andrea Spitzer, Communications Manager Jennifer Jarvis, City Planner Matthew Hamp, Public Works and Engineering Director Tammy Ernst-Doiron, Executive Assistant

PRAYER

Councillor Akumalik opened the meeting with a prayer at 6:12 p.m.

SWEARING IN

None

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Motion #19-25

Moved by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson Seconded by: Councillor Rochon

Adoption of agenda as amended: Change agenda order to reflect #9 New Business and #10 Committee Reports to be conducted before #7 Readings of By-laws.

Unanimously Carried

1. MINUTES

a) City Council Meeting #31 - December 11, 2018

Motion #19-26

Moved by: Councillor Rochon Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

City Council Meeting Minutes #31 dated December 11, 2018.

Unanimously Carried

b) City Council Meeting #01 - January 8, 2019

Motion #19-27

Moved by: Councillor Rochon Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

City Council Meeting Minutes #01 dated January 8, 2019.

Unanimously Carried

2. <u>DECLARATION OF INTEREST</u>

None

3. **DELEGATIONS**

None

4. AWARDS AND RECOGNITIONS

None

5. <u>STATEMENTS</u>

Councillor Akumalik wished to acknowledge the thoughtful person who gave him a cup for his birthday.

He indicated that he wished to speak about transparency and accountability. He reiterated that Council has said that they need to be more transparent and accountable to the residents. He therefore asked for an update on the hiring of the new CAO, and as well asked for an update on the homeless shelter that is being worked on.

Mayor Redfern indicated that these items would be put on the agenda.

Mayor Redfern addressed Council as follows: It is with great sadness that I inform about the passing of our colleague, the Water Treatment Plant Operator, Bob Brouillet, who passed away this past weekend. Bob was an employee of the City for more than 21 years and he took tremendous pride in his job. He could always be counted on to ensure the integrity of the city water supply. Bob's love of life was only surpassed by the love that he had for his family. Bob will be missed by everyone he knew and worked with him. Our thoughts and prayers go out to Bob's family.

Mayor Redfern acknowledged that employees have been provided information in the event that they need any assistance through this difficult and trying time.

Mayor Redfern spoke about Bob's amazing and wonderful dry sense of humour, his smile and cheekiness that she will always miss.

6. DEFERRED BUSINESS AND TABLED ITEMS

None

9. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>

a) Rulings on Representations

No Representations

Jennifer Jarvis, City Planner, indicated this is concerning Zoning By-law Amendment No. 870. She stated that what is looking to be done is to have an accessory dwelling unit in an Exception Zone at the brewery.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson stated that because there were no representations from the public that perhaps there didn't need to be any rulings on representation and Council could wait until the reading of the by-law. Mayor Redfern agreed, if that was how Council would like to proceed.

Councillor Akumalik indicated that he did not understand. Mayor Redfern clarified that what Deputy Mayor Stevenson was suggesting was that the matter was actually on the agenda as a by-law motion and it could be handled that way. She then asked Deputy Mayor Stevenson to clarify and explain.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson stated that if this were an instance where they had a public hearing and there were representations from the public, it might be the case that they needed to make a decision before a by-law was read. But in this case, because there were no members of the public to speak on the matter, there was no real reason to not just wait until the by-law came up later in the meeting to discuss.

Mayor Redfern asked Councillor Akumalik if he understood. Councillor Akumalik acknowledged that he understood, but indicated that he did have a question. He wished to know what the policy was on translation materials. He stated that Council had been talking about conditions, however, they were just verbalized conditions and no written material was provided.

Mayor Redfern indicated that she would check to see what information was provided in the by-law. She stated that she would have Administration check on it for Councillor Akumalik. Mayor Redfern agreed that the matter could be spoken to during the by-law reading phase of the meeting.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson noted that if there was going to be an issue as to whether the conditions were present or not, he thought it might be best to defer the item until the next council meeting. He stated that if the conditions are something that Council is going to want to see before they make a decision, then there is no point in reading the by-law and not being satisfied with the information that is there. He indicated this would be a good reason to have a ruling on representation, and if there is not enough information here, Councillor Akumalik could ask that the reading of the by-law be deferred.

Mayor Redfern stated the by-law was just looked at. She noted that the conditions were not in the package.

Ms. Elgersma asked Ms. Jarvis for clarification as to whether there were conditions right now that the Planning Department was recommending or are these conditions that Council is asking for at this meeting?

Ms. Jarvis indicated that she was referring to conditions that Council was asking for at this meeting.

Mayor Redfern noted that earlier in the matter that Ms. Jarvis answered the question, it sounded like there were already pre-existing conditions and that you just did not have them in front of you and they were not part of the package.

Ms. Jarvis explained that she may have been mixed up and thinking of conditions to the development permit.

Mayor Redfern indicated that it was possible at this time for Council to look at adding conditions so that there are no other pre-existing conditions as it relates specifically to this narrow by-law amendment. She explained that there were other conditions to the broader development permit for the brewery as a whole.

Councillor Sheppard thought if Council did second reading of the by-law, during the discussion of that second reading, those conditions could be discussed. He felt it was not appropriate to come up with the conditions on rulings on representations.

Mayor Redfern inquired if there were any further discussions Council wished to have on the rulings on representations. Hearing none, discussion on rulings on representations was ended.

b) Board of Revision Reappointments

Deputy Mayor Stevenson indicated that the Board of Revision would like to reappoint Melissa Alexander, Stephen Leyden and Mithula Venugopan to the Board.

Motion #19-28

Moved by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson Seconded by: Councillor Akumalik

That Stephen Leyden, Melissa Alexander and Mithula Venugopan are reappointed to the 2019 Board of Revision.

Unanimously Carried

c) Flag Protocol Policy

Motion #19-29

Moved by: Councillor Sheppard

Seconded by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson

That the Flag Protocol Policy is approved.

Unanimously Carried

10. COMMITTEE REPORTS

a) Planning and Development Committee of the Whole

Motion #19-30

Moved by: Councillor Akumalik Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

That Council approves Development Permit application DP #18-061 to convert an existing single-detached dwelling into a 'residential care facility' on Lot 449, Plan 867 (Happy Valley), subject to the City's Standard Conditions and as shown on the plans submitted.

Unanimously Carried

Motion #19-31

Moved by: Councillor Akumalik

Seconded by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson

That Council approves Development Permit application DP #18-067 to build a new warehouse, which will form part of the building located on Lot 3, Plan 2757, subject to the City's Standard Conditions, the Special Conditions, and as shown on the plans submitted, and as described in the following documents:

- a) Iqaluit NorthMart Sanitary Alignment Feasibility Option Memo, received January 10, 2019; and
- b) Water Consumption Estimation Memo, received January 10, 2019.

Unanimously Carried

Motion #19-32

Moved by: Councillor Akumalik Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

That Council give First Reading to the Zoning By-law amendment, to rezone Lot 9-4, Plan 617 (Core Area) from High Density Residential (R3) to Central Business Zone, Exception Zone 3 (B1(3)[h16]), to permit a 4-storey mixed-use building with commercial uses on the ground floor, as shown in the plans submitted, subject to adding a driveway aisle width reduction for one parking space to the Exception Zone.

Unanimously Carried

Motion #19-33

Moved by: Councillor Akumalik

Seconded by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson

That Council approves:

- DP 19-003 to amend DP 16-050 to reflect an increase in parking spaces and change in parking configuration on the Approved Site Plan (A1-4 dated June 3, 2008) in accordance with provisional Plan of Survey of Condominium prepared by NorthTech Consultants (File #2017-005).
- Plan of Survey of Condominium prepared by NorthTech Consultants (File #2017-005).
- Waiving the fees for the Development Permit application (\$500).

Unanimously Carried

Motion #19-34

Moved by: Councillor Akumalik Seconded: Deputy Mayor Stevenson

That Council approves the extension to construction deadlines in Lease #801922 for Lot 214 and Lease #801923 for Lot 215 from June 1, 2017 to June 1, 2021.

Unanimously Carried

Motion #19-35

Moved by: Councillor Akumalik Seconded: Councillor Sheppard

That Council adopt the Development Charge By-law by giving the by-law the required three readings.

Unanimously Carried

7. BY-LAWS

- a) First Reading of By-law(s)
 - i) Appointment of Municipal Enforcement Officers By-law

Deputy Mayor Stevenson pointed out that the important change to this by-law was the Schedule A at the back that lists the correct by-law officers' names.

Motion #19-36

Moved by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

First Reading Appointment of Municipal Enforcement Officers By-law.

Unanimously Carried

ii) Water and Sewer Development Charge By-law

Motion #19-37

Moved by: Councillor Akumalik Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

First Reading Water and Sewer Development Charge By-law.

Unanimously Carried

iii) Zoning By-law Amendment (Nunastar)

Motion #19-38

Moved by: Councillor Akumalik Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

First Reading Zoning By-law Amendment (Nunastar).

Unanimously Carried

iv) Repeal of Lottery Licensing By-law No. 386 and Amending By-law No. 430

Motion #19-39

Moved by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

First Reading Repeal of Lottery Licensing By-law No. 368 and Amending By-law No.

430.

Unanimously Carried

Deputy Mayor Stevenson clarified that these are obsolete by-laws that are on the books, but they do not match with the actual process of licensing from the Government of Nunavut through to the City of Iqaluit. He explained that the City used to have some participation in this process that they no longer have, therefore it does not make sense to still have the by-laws.

b) Second Reading of By-Law(s)

i) Zoning By-law Amendment No. 870

Motion #19-40

Moved by: Councillor Akumalik Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

Second Reading Zoning By-law Amendment No. 870.

For – Sheppard, Stevenson, Rochon Opposed – Akumalik, Matshazi

Carried

Councillor Akumalik asked for clarification that this was the by-law that Council was looking to have information on regarding the conditions, as well as the conditions being translated. Mayor Redfern confirmed that. She indicated it has been translated and is in the package. Mayor Redfern explained that if any conditions were to be added, this would be the time to do that.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson reiterated that the condition is that the apartment was not to be inhabited by children and was only meant for one inhabitant at a time. He inquired as to where in a by-law this condition would get written, whether in a schedule at the end or in conditions written into the main body.

Ms. Jarvis indicated the conditions could be included in the by-law itself or conditions could be added as a schedule to the by-law.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson asked if there were other conditions that Council wanted put on this by-law amendment or was it just the use of the apartment for a single dwelling.

Mayor Redfern noted that she seemed to recall that the security suite had its own separate water amount and source. This was confirmed by Katie Barbour. She indicated this was already dealt with, with a broader development permit.

Councillor Sheppard indicated that he opposed the conditions being put on. He did not know if Council was in their legal right to limit who could or could not live there. He stated that it could be made clear that bus service is not provided there and that it is not an ideal spot for a child. He felt that it is outside of Council's power to put that limitation on there.

Mayor Redfern reiterated to Council that industrial areas are by default not residential areas. In residential areas, the City usually provides things like playgrounds and additional road safety conditions such as speed bumps. She wanted Council to be aware that these are narrow exceptions and usually for very specific purposes of on-site security adult users. Mayor Redfern pointed out that where the Nunavut Brewery is located there are derelict vehicles that are being repaired and a lot of things that are not particularly found in residential areas. She indicated that those types of business are not put in residential areas or in the downtown core.

Councillor Matshazi wanted to emphasize the point regarding the area where the brewery is located. He spoke about the people who provide social services such as bussing and policing. He noted that if the people living above the brewery required any one of the social services, it might be difficult for those services to reach them if they are not in a zone that is prescribed for a residence. Councillor Matshazi wondered if some could say, no, we don't service that area. He said on that basis, Council could be challenged to say how come the City allowed someone to live there when they knew it was an industrial zone and not a residential zone.

Mayor Redfern asked Deputy Mayor Stevenson if there was a preference in how to add a condition to the by-law. Deputy Mayor Stevenson indicated that before Council decides which way to add the condition, they need to decide whether they want to add the condition. He stated that he felt there was a question on the floor as to whether Council wanted to add the condition or not add the condition before going forward with the second reading of the by-law.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson acknowledged that the area is not a great area for somebody with children to live in as it is not set up that way. He noted that currently it sounds like that is not a problem, but it does not mean that it won't ever be a problem. He stated that regardless of who polices that the conditions are being met, it doesn't change the fact that it is not a good idea for children to live in that area.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson felt that the description of the apartment within the by-law could outline that it is a one-person unit and from there, the company could be expected to ensure that they don't have more than one person in a one-person unit.

Mayor Redfern agreed that the condition could be written that way. She asked Councillor Sheppard if that would be satisfactory to him, if the conditional approval is for a one-person unit.

Councillor Sheppard stated that he prefer that it be at least be two. He indicated that it was denying somebody companionship or any possibility of it.

Mayor Redfern asked Council if perhaps the conditions should state two adults in a one-person suite.

Councillor Akumalik thought that was a good question. He wondered whether Council was setting something up that future companies might be asking to do or would like to do. He asked why the Brewery didn't decide this at the beginning and why now they're asking for a change. He thought that maybe Council would be setting a precedent for this kind of issue.

Mayor Redfern noted that different councils have had different thoughts on whether or not residential units are allowed in the industrial zone out by Federal Road. The taxi company had come to Council to have the units that are in the West 40 area specifically for staff housing. She stated that at that point in time, it was brought to Council that these would be taxi drivers. There was no perception of children living in that area. Mayor Redfern acknowledged that sometimes people come and go and then circumstances change.

Mayor Redfern clarified with Ms. Barbour about the area on-site of the brewery for processing, as well as the holding tank for waste. Ms. Barbour confirmed that the holding tank is inside and that nothing is exposed. Mayor Redfern did note that is a business within the North 40 that does have a contamination holding area. She reiterated

again that this is the type of thing that sometimes happens in an industrial area, where clearly there was no expectation of people living near that type of activity or those types of hazards or risks.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson stated that he didn't think there would be any company within any part of the industrial area that couldn't make an argument for the value to them of having an apartment in their property. He felt that any company that was not 9 to 5 and people wanted either security and/or to secure their operations, could make the exact same argument for an apartment. He noted that he was more on the side of Councillor Sheppard's argument that there should be no conditions. However, he stated that even once Council decides that part, they are also deciding on whether Council is really ready to formalize an apartment in an industrial area and whether that is okay.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson acknowledged Councillor Akumalik's argument that once Council approves this condition, there could be other businesses such as auto body shops or ski-doo shops that may feel that their stuff is in danger and therefore would like to be able to stay there all night and live in the area.

Councillor Akumalik noted that during blizzards this may happen. Deputy Mayor Stevenson agreed. He stated that the argument doesn't just hold for a brewery, that it holds for any company in an industrial area.

Mayor Redfern pointed out that this also speaks to what Councillor Matshazi was saying. If you have an industrial area that has no residents in it, then if there is a weather issue or blizzard, you do not have to worry about someone being called out for ambulance services because it's not usually an area with any habitation. She stated that if someone is living out there, they have to be aware of the associated risks of being stranded because it is not a usual residential area.

Councillor Akumalik looked for clarification that if Council decided to put conditions on and one of the conditions is to change it from a security suite to living quarters, would the City monitor who is living there. Councillor Akumalik once again stated that he thought Council should see the translated conditions before approving them.

Mayor Redfern indicated that the City doesn't go around and inspect this type of thing. But she stated that if conditions related to any development permit come to the attention of Council through a complaint, the City would then investigate. Documentation would be reviewed to see if the conditions were being met. She stated there would then be an enforcement process.

Mayor Redfern used the example whereby the City approved the little green offices at the end of North 40. She stated that it was clear that these were never meant to be residential and they are not being used that way. However, she said that if you saw someone living in it and a resident complained, then By-law would investigate and enforce. Mayor Redfern noted that the by-law officers don't go door to door ensuring that all development permit conditions are in place or being respected.

Councillor Akumalik asked Mayor Redfern about the translation of the conditions. Mayor Redfern noted that what Councillor Akumalik has in front of him has been translated. She indicated that the discussion was surrounding whether or not Council wanted to add any conditions or approve the by-law as is.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson stated that he was in favour of passing the by-law amendment as it is. But he noted that he wanted to outline what he saw as the consequences of the by-law not passing and asked Council to confirm those consequences.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson noted that he felt one of the consequences of not passing this by-law would be that the brewery would keep its current security suite, which was approved in the development plan as a place where somebody could stay in the event of a blizzard or in the event of a necessity, but that would not be a permanent dwelling for some person. He indicated that the brewery would need to heed the by-law and operations would need to conform to what was already approved. Deputy Mayor Stevenson noted that he didn't think it would create too much havoc in the business plan of the brewery and stated that would be the only change if the by-law was not approved. He asked Council if there was anything he was missing in terms of what would happen if the by-law was not approved.

Ms. Elgersma added that through working with the situation, and working with liquids and brewery systems, that it was her understanding that those sometimes need additional attention. In the event that something happened, somebody needed to be on-site. She noted that there are methods to monitor off-site, but it would require censors and alarms, and people would need to head all the way out there to deal with the situation so there wouldn't be a flood or damaged product. Ms. Elgersma stated that it was her understanding that the brewing process is more sensitive regarding the water systems, etc.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson stated that he was in favour of moving forward with changing this from a security suite to a permanent residence in order to facilitate whatever operation the brewery felt it needed to have someone on staff for. He noted that he did not have a huge problem with there being some form of residences in the industrial areas. He stated that he felt in some ways it makes them helpful; much like the mixed-use in the downtown core is helpful. He added that the City of Iqaluit is not a big city with massive industrial areas that are far from town and can't be serviced.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson understood the argument surrounding services not going out there in a blizzard to help someone having a heart attack, however, he stated that they could. He stated that you would go wherever someone needs help, so they could certainly go there, just like they go to end of Apex or to the last house on the Ridge. He noted that the City has the access to it and he was sure they would go.

Mayor Redfern mentioned that Council was updating the General Plan, so perhaps the issue could be a fuller discussion with the community.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson stated that if he were a business owner, he would probably like to be able to stay within his business if he needed to or have an employee stay within the business. He noted that he would like to be able to do that legally and through the proper channels, as opposed to what does happen in a lot of businesses in the town already, where people are staying in their business in the industrial area illegally.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson spoke to what Councillor Akumalik had noted regarding how the City would patrol this. He noted that the City does not have capacity to patrol and would only do so during complaints.

Councillor Matshazi stated that he was hesitant to have a full dwelling in the area. He noted that he felt the Zoning By-law then loses meaning if there are specific areas being targeted for specific uses. He stated that he did not see why Council would want to start incorporating residences in industrial areas. He feels there should be a reason why residential areas are separate from industrial areas. He stated that if Council allows people to live in industrial areas, and if there are children living above the brewery, it has to be taken into consideration that it would not be a proper place to put a family.

Councillor Matshazi noted that with the current shortage of housing, if Council relaxes the laws and incorporates residential places in industrial areas, he believes there will be more people living in those areas. He feels the City will quickly lose control of the bylaw.

Councillor Akumalik asked for clarification on the motion. Mayor Redfern stated it was to approve the by-law as presented.

Councillor Matshazi asked for clarification if the motion was with or without the conditions. Mayor Redfern noted the motion as it is right now is without conditions.

Councillor Matshazi wanted clarification as to how the motion read. Deputy Mayor Stevenson reviewed the motion that was on the floor. Councillor Matshazi inquired if the motion was to just allow only a person who is looking after the premises to stay there. Mayor Redfern confirmed that was correct. It would be the employee.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson noted that the assumption is being made that if for some reason at a later date, the brewery wanted to rent the apartment to someone unrelated to their operations, they would not be able to because the condition is very specific that it must be an employee or facility manager living there. Mayor Redfern confirmed that was correct. She stated that it is permissible for a facility manager or employee.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson indicated that the employee could have a partner of some sort and a child, and they could all sleep in the 72m² of space. Mayor Redfern stated it says for a facility manager or an employee. Deputy Mayor Stevenson noted that if you have housing for a teacher, it doesn't preclude you from having a partner and a child.

Councillor Matshazi stated that the way the by-law is written right now, it does allow someone to stay with their partner and live with their child. He noted the by-law does not state clearly that they are not supposed to live with anyone else. He felt if the by-law states an employee, it is silent in terms of who can live with them. Mayor Redfern agreed.

Councillor Matshazi inquired when staff initially brought this issue forward, they didn't support it and he wondered what made staff support it now. Mayor Redfern confirmed that Ms. Elgersma noted that the initial concern was that it was not in the appropriate zone.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson stated that the reason it wasn't originally supported by staff was because it wasn't properly zoned. He noted that staff supports the changing of the by-law to make it correctly zoned. He stated that staff likes to make sure things fit in the right categories and this will make that happen. He was unsure if staff had much of an opinion about whether the apartment should be there or shouldn't be there, but stated that as the City's Zoning By-law read before, this apartment was not allowed.

Deputy Mayor Stevenson noted that the definition the brewery had used of a security suite seemed to try to circumvent the by-law as written, which was not acceptable to the Planning Department. The Planning Department was uncomfortable with it. He stated that by rewriting or amending the by-law, it would make the apartment and the property conforming. Deputy Mayor Stevenson believes this was the Planning Department's concern at the time. As it stands right now, it does not fit within the City's by-law.

Mayor Redfern acknowledged that it is important to note that this specific by-law that is being proposed is specific to this one particular company and property. It is not the whole industrial area of North 40 or West 40, it is specific to this particular property.

Councillor Matshazi stated that he felt it could become a problem if Council tries to regulate for one company. He noted that it sets a precedent in the way that you could not deny other companies that may want to do the same thing.

Councillor Akumalik asked for clarification as to whether Council was going to deal with the by-law so that it will conform to administrative requests or is Council trying to deal with the by-law with or without conditions.

Mayor Redfern acknowledged that right now, the by-law is proposed without conditions. She stated that right now, the by-law is specific to Nunavut Brewery. She noted the previous by-law stated that it was a security suite, having security on staff dealing with ensuring the property was safe and secure, but also ensuring that the operations were properly managed. This by-law will ensure that it actually allows an apartment and fulfills those purposes, but with someone living and residing there, not just someone who is staying there on shift, and only staying there as a resident in the event that there is a weather-related issue.

Mayor Redfern noted that this by-law is to align it with what the brewery is requesting. There is then no misalignment in the by-law between what is permitted and what is happening.

Mayor Redfern stated that Nunavut Brewery wants to be compliant. She noted the City would like Nunavut Brewery to be compliant. This by-law brings together that compliance.

Mayor Redfern once again reiterated the motion currently on the floor was to approve the by-law as presented.

ii) Appointment of Municipal Enforcement Officers By-law No. 871

Motion #19-41

Moved by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

Second Reading Appointment of Municipal Enforcement Officers By-law No. 871.

Unanimously Carried

Deputy Mayor Stevenson stated this by-law that includes the Schedule "A", is the correct names of the current By-law Officers. He indicated the new addition is Brenden Canny.

iii) Repeal of Lottery Licensing By-laws No. 386 and 430 By-law No. 872

Motion #19-42

Moved by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

Second Reading Repeal of Lottery Licensing By-laws By-law No. 872.

Unanimously Carried

- c) Third and Final Reading of By-Laws (s)
 - i) 2019 Mill Rate By-law No. 868

Motion #19-43

Moved by: Councillor Sheppard

Seconded by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson

Third and Final Reading 2019 Mill Rate By-law No. 868.

For – Sheppard, Stevenson, Rochon, Matshazi Opposed – Akumalik

Carried

ii) Consolidated Fees and Charges By-law Amendment No. 869

Motion #19-44

Moved by: Councillor Sheppard

Seconded by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson

Third and Final Reading Consolidated Fees and Charges By-law No. 869.

For – Sheppard, Stevenson, Rochon, Matshazi Opposed – Akumalik

Carried

8. OLD BUSINESS

None

11. CORRESPONDENCE

Information Items:

- a) Mosha Cote, Manager/Research Liaison, Nunavut Research Institute Annual Summary Report - Gathering Community Perspectives on Infant Sleeping Practices in Nunavut
- b) Mosha Cote, Manager/Research Liaison, Nunavut Research Institute Annual Summary Report - In Our Own Words: The Voice of Inuit RCMP Special Constables from Nunavut

12. <u>IN CAMERA SESSION</u>

as per Section 22(2) (a) CTV Act and By-law 526 Section 67

• Legal - Award of RFP

Motion #19-45

Moved by: Councillor Rochon Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

Council goes In Camera at 7:22 p.m.

Unanimously Carried

Motion #19-46

Moved by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

Council returns to Regular Session at 8:04 p.m.

Unanimously Carried

Motion #19-47

Moved by: Deputy Mayor Stevenson Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

That Council awards the Environmental and Detail Design Services of the Reverse Osmosis Water Treatment Plant to WSP Canada based on their technical and financial proposal submissions.

For – Sheppard, Stevenson, Rochon, Matshazi Opposed – Akumalik

Carried

13. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

Motion #19-48

Moved by: Councillor Rochon Seconded by: Councillor Sheppard

That Council adjourns at 8:07 p.m.

Unanimously Carried

Council Meeting #03 February 12, 2019 Page 18 of 18

Madeleine Redfern Mayor

Amy Elgersma
Acting Chief Administrative Officer

Approved by City Council on the <u>12</u> day of <u>March</u>, 2019.